

(b)(3):10 USC 424

**From:** (b)(3) 10.U.S.C 424  
**Sent:** Monday, June 22, 2015 8:45 AM  
**To:** (b)(3) 10.U.S.C 424  
**Subject:** [From NIPRNet] FW: Question Regarding Case #15-196: Resume  
**Attachments:** SF50.pdf

(b)(3):10 USC 424;(b)(6)

(b)(3):10 USC 424;(b)(6)

-----Original Message-----

**From:** (b)(6)  
**Sent:** Friday, June 19, 2015 8:53 PM  
**To:** (b)(3) 10.U.S.C 424;(b)(6)  
**Subject:** Question Regarding Case #15-196: Resume

(b)(3):10 USC 424

(b)(3):10 USC 424;(b)(6)

Thank you for our phone conversation and email Thursday afternoon. This resume is important to me and I am committed to doing this the right way.

Attached is a copy of my SF50 (Notification of Personnel Action) Form that was written and approved by Human Resources the day after I left employment with DIA. It is an unclassified document and was mailed to me on 16 December 2014. I was also informed during my outbrief that this form was sent to OPM as well.

If you look at lines 8-10, this document lists my position as an intelligence officer (IO, GG-0132). As my outbrief explained to me, this is standard policy for DIA employees in my specific field and situation.

I am assuming that the review board was unaware of this document when they looked at my resume. If they were aware, can you explain the first redacted point in my resume, which the review board revised to read "operations or admin officer"? On first glance, it appears that you redacted something that was already declassified by DIA in December 2014.

I have more concerns with the review boards decision on my resume, but I was hoping to get this issue answered first. Please let me know if you have any questions on this point.

Thank you again for helping me with this process. I am committed to doing this the correct way.

(b)(3):10 USC 424;(b)(6)